



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,479	04/12/2006	Satoru Nishio	289353US3PCT	3427

22850 7590 10/30/2009
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

DEXTER, CLARK F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3724

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/30/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10575479	4/12/2006	NISHIO ET AL.	289353US3PCT

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

Clark F. Dexter

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3724	20091026
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The response filed on June 18, 2009 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

the objection to claim 1, line 5 has not been addressed;

the amendments to the claims are improper because they are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2); for example, see the deletions of claim 1, lines 11 and 15 (the claims should be reviewed for other such occurrences). In these examples, using strikethrough is improper and the deletions must be shown using double bracketing (as stated in the corresponding rule, the text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived which corresponds to deletions of 5 characters or less).

In response to this communication, it is suggested to simply provide a supplemental amendment to resolve the outstanding issue(s). If applicant has any questions regarding the manner of making amendments, applicant is welcome to telephone the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**/Clark F. Dexter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724**